



Muffler Design with Baffle Effect and Perforations on Transmission Loss

S. Kamarkhani^{1*}, A. Karimyan², M. Kheybari³, J. Khanali⁴

¹ School of Automotive Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran, saeidkamarkhani@gmail.com

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University, Tehran, Iran, a.karimyan.iust@gmail.com

³ School of Automotive Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran, kheybari_m@auto.iust.ac.ir

⁴ School of Automotive Engineering, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran, Jamal.khanali@hotmail.com

*Corresponding Author

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 22 December 2017

Accepted: 21 February 2018

Keywords:

FEM

Reactive muffler

Absorptive muffler

Transmission loss

ABSTRACT

Reactive mufflers depend on reducing the exhaust noise through a volume depending on reflection of sound noises. Muffler analysis is always challenging task due to complex design, shape and size limitation for specific application. That is why the reduction of exhaust noise generated from engine is in today's world an important issue. Attaching a muffler in the exhaust pipe is the good option for reducing noise. But muffler requires specific design and construction considering various noise parameters produced by the engine. Since early development of mufflers, the main objective of design was attenuation of sound in regular mufflers. A typical sound generated by vibrational waves is usually based on the experience and design experience, which can be enhanced by optimizing the internal structure of the exhaust system. The use of baffles and perforations has a significant effect on reducing the transmission loss in a silencer exhaust system. In order to evaluate the response effect of a silencer, the effect of baffle and perforations, without baffles and perforations, was evaluated in COMSOL5.2 software. Which results in numerical results with close experimental results and the effect of baffle and perforations, have shown a good reduction in transmissibility, and their partial differences are due to the features of geometry design.



1) Introduction

Inward burning engines are regularly prepared for and debilitate suppressor on smother those acoustic pulse created toward those ignition methodologies. A high intensity pressure wave produced toward burning in the motor barrel propagates along the fumes channel and radiates from the fumes channel end. Those pulse repeats toward those terminating recurrence of the motor which may be characterized by $f = (\text{engine rpm} \times \text{number from claiming cylinders}) / 120$ to a four stroke motor. The frequency content of exhaust noise may be commanded by a pulse in the terminating frequency, be that it Additionally need a broadband part on its range which extends to higher frequencies. Over general, heartless waves propagating along a channel could a chance to be weakened utilizing whichever a dissipative or a sensitive suppressor.

Reflective (or reactive) mufflers - the individuals that reflect acoustic waves toward Sharp territory expansions or progressions about impedance. Dissipative mufflers - mufflers in view of dispersal of acoustic vitality under heat through viscous misfortunes done stringy materials or flow-related (resistive) misfortunes clinched alongside punctured pipes. Sensitive mufflers are best suiting for those low recurrence range the place just plane waves might propagate in the system, same time dissipative mufflers with fibers need aid productive in the mid-to-high recurrence go. Dissipative mufflers dependent upon stream losses, on the different hand, worth of effort additionally during low frequencies. An ordinary car debilitate framework may be a mixture development comprising of a blending of reflective Also dissipative suppressor components. Sensitive silencer configuration will be built whichever on the guideline of a. Helmholtz resonator or an extension chamber, also obliges the utilization from claiming acoustic transmission line hypothesis. Previously, a Helmholtz resonator plan and pit may be joined of the fumes channel. During a particular recurrence those pit will reverberate and the waves in the fumes channel need aid reflected back towards the sourball. Nonetheless there need aid also pasquinade band frequencies the place the resonator need no impact along these lines resonator suppressor plan will be focused on should particular frequencies the place the dominant part of the weakening is needed. In exactly designs, the suppressor need a few resonators from claiming distinctive sizes should target a range from claiming frequencies. The reflective parts are typically tuned with uproot ruling low-frequency motor sounds same time those dissipative parts need aid Planned on fare thee well of higher-frequency commotion.

The silencer is one of the sound control devices used to reduce sound. The mufflers usually are placed in the path of a fluid flow to reduce the sound of the output stream. This flow of fluid in various industries

may be the flow of air or gas or Steam The use of each of these silencers in each field will ultimately be used to reduce the level of sound. As shown in Figure 1. The placement of a muffler is shown on an exhaust system[1].




Figure 1: Schematic of an exhaust system

Muffler design is an important research area for automotive companies because of new regulations and standards for noise emission. To examine the performance of any muffler, certain parameters are used. These parameters are transmission loss and back pressure. The transmission loss gives a value in decibel (dB) that corresponds to the ability of the muffler to dampen the noise. Transmission loss is independent from the noise source; thus this property of muffler does not vary with respect to noise source. New designs to improve the acoustical properties of a muffler cause a resistance against the flow of exhaust gases and this resistance stems the flow. This is called back pressure and it causes an extra pressure inside the engine. Because of the back pressure, volumetric efficiency decreases and specific fuel consumption increases. Therefore, there must be specific limitations for the back pressure [2].

Transmission Loss parameter (TL) compares the amount of signal intensity in a certain range from one source to another in an environment[3]. Several numerical methods for reducing Transmission Loss in exhaust silencer have been investigated in CONSOL software and Actran software [4].

Mo and Huh were analyzed transmission Loss reductions in silencer analytically in NASTRAN software with comparative experimental results [5]. Vasileve Gillich have been evaluated and calculated harmonic waves of pressure in a silencer by the internal combustion engine exhaust system to reduce and transmit Transmission Loss in the COMSOL software [6]. Potente and colleagues have calculated the principles of design and benefits of mufflers for numerical analysis [7]. Kore and colleagues also investigated a silencer reaction to reduce Transmission Loss in a fluent environment [8].

Numerical analysis programs make the acoustic investigation of the muffler easier. In 2005, Daniel explained the general design principles of a muffler and advantages of different types of mufflers [12].

Munjal calculated the back pressure of a perforated, cross-flow and reactive muffler with CFD method and

examined the effects of different parameters such as diameter and, area expansion ratio [13].

In 2010, an exhaust system which is composed of a front and back muffler was examined to obtain flow field characteristic [14].

2) Define The Model

Modeling for two silencer modes with Baffle effect and perforations and not affecting this feature in SolidWorks software has been taken in Fig. 2 and 3.




Figure 2: Model without Baffle




Figure 3: Model with Baffle and perforations

And also we can see the complete model in Fig 4 which is modeled in Solidworks and imported to Comsol software in order to simulate.




Figure 4: Muffler modeling A) baffle muffler and perforations B) muffler without baffle and perforations.

3) Finite element method

Finite element method enables efficient evaluation scheme, using the mathematical model provides and put a wider range of design choices available to the user, optimizing design makes it possible [9] Therefore, one of the key points in the analysis, the analysis of the exhaust system It is suitable for a FEM element. When a mesh in a silencer is split into relatively large elements, a relatively large calculation error will occur. The maximum length of the mesh elements is generally calculated by the maximum frequency; the thickness of the mesh element is determined by the highest frequency. The calculated frequency range of the muffler will be determined according to the exhaust frequency. In this paper, the amplitude of the muffler between 20 and 3000 Hz has been evaluated [10]. In Figure 5 the silencing mesh is shown in COMSOL software.




Figure 5. Mesh muffler in COMSOL software.

4) The Theory of Analysis On the Model

The equations which are used in simulation described below:

$$\nabla \left(\frac{\nabla p}{\rho_0} \right) + \frac{k^2 p}{\rho_0} = 0 \tag{1}$$

Where in:

$k = 2\pi f / c_0$: wavelength

ρ_0 : Material density

c_0 : Sound speed

Transmission loss is not dependent on the internal flow, which is used as a silencer in the silencer. Equation (2) Is defined as the incident sound Equation (3) over transmitted sound powers Equation (4) calculated using[10]:

$$TL = 10 \log \left(\frac{P_{in}}{P_{tr}} \right) \tag{2}$$

$$P_{in} = \int \frac{p_i^2}{2\rho c_0} dA \tag{3}$$

$$P_{tr} = \int \frac{p_{tr}^2}{2\rho c_0} dA \tag{4}$$

This module contains many sub-module, we solve these problems in the Pressure acoustics module. The physics interfaces play a vital role to couple with other physics interface in COMSOL5.2 Table 1 [11].

Table 1: List of Parameters required for simulation

Name	Value	Description
pressure	1[Pa]	Amplitude of incoming pressure wave
temperature	423 [k]	Wall surface temperature
Speed	41 [m/s]	Inlet Air Speed
temperature	735 [k]	Inlet Temperature
Density	0.47 [kg/m^3]	The density of Air
Material	Q235-steel	The Material of the Muffler

To solve this problem, three boundary condition are as follows:

- Hard wall boundary where velocity is zero .
- At the inlet combination of incoming and outgoing plane waves .
- At the outlet radiation condition for an outgoing plane wave.

5)Results and Discussion

An experimental [10] and numerical comparison of the Transmission Loss results of the silencer in terms of frequency is shown in Figure 6. Usually, reactive silencers are effective in low frequency bandwidth. The cut-off frequency in the current muffler is calculated at a frequency of 3000 Hz, which is obtained using the equation $f_c = 1.84c / (\pi d)$, where C is the sound speed and d is the silencer diameter. In the frequency range from 600 to 750 Hz, the maximum attenuation of 50dB for buffer geometry with baffles and perforations, as well as baffle-free muffler geometry and perforations in the frequency range 1300-1400 Hz has reached a maximum decay of 91dB. In fact, numerical and experimental results can be said to be in good agreement.




Figure 6. Transmission Loss

In Figure (7-a), the absolute pressure level reaches a maximum of 16.8Pa, but in the figure (7-b) the pressure level reached 2.88Pa at a frequency of 3000Hz.

The minor difference between baffle silencer geometry and perforations is the experimental results due to the geometric differences between the

two, but the geometry without silencer along with the baffles and perforations of Normal mode goes far beyond indicating that this does not improve the important Transmission Loss feature, and in the end, Baffle's effect and perforations can be well understood in the results.





Figure 7. Acoustic pressure field: (a) without baffles and perforations (b) with baffles and perforations

In Figure 8 the acoustic pressure level of the muffler is shown at a frequency of 3000 Hz for buffer geometry and perforations, along with baffles and perforations for 1Pa sound pressure. Onn the other hand we can see isosurface for both without baffles and perforations and with baffles and perforations in Figure 9.

Conclusion

In accordance with the standards and regulations that are set to reduce noise, automotive companies have been forced to make improvements in the engine noise and exhaust system. Given the geometry of the muffler and the cost of its production in the vehicle, the goal Design of smaller models with high capacity without loss of pressure before entering the muffler. Therefore, the proposed scheme in this paper has been analyzed with regard to acoustic and back pressure in muffler. In this study, a silencer was evaluated with the effect of buffer perforations and without affecting it to obtain sound characteristics. The acoustic silencer pressure was compared with the experimental results and showed that the effect of the baffle and perforations in the process of reducing the transmission loss parameter resulted from a numerical analysis of the effectiveness and efficiency.




Figure 8: Acoustic pressure level (a) without baffles and perforations (b) with baffles and perforations




Figure 9. Isosurface: (a) without baffles and perforations (b) with baffles and perforations.

References

[1] Shojaeifard M, Ebrahimi-Nejad R. S, Kamarkhani S. Optimization of Exhaust System Hangers for Reduction of Vehicle Cabin Vibrations . IJAE. 2017; 7 (1) :2314-2324

[2] Munjal, Manchar Lal. Acoustics of ducts and mufflers with application to exhaust and ventilation system design. John Wiley & Sons, 1987.

[3] Conejo, A. J., et al. "Transmission loss allocation: a comparison of different practical algorithms." IEEE transactions on Power Systems 17.3 (2002): 571-576.

[4] Zhou, Ze, and Diego Copiello. "Simulation of exhaust line noise using FEM and TMM." Sound & Vibration (2013): 11.

[5] M. S. Huh, J. Y. Mo, S. K. Oh, J. R. Kim, and C. W. Park, "A Study on the Analysis and Improvement of the Acoustic Characteristics of the Muffler in Compressor," 1994.

[6] Vasile, Ovidiu, and Gilbert-Rainer Gillich. "Finite Element Analysis of Acoustic Pressure Levels and Transmission Loss of a Muffler." Advances in Remote Sensing, Finite Differences and Information Security (2012): 43-48.

[7] Potente, D. (2005, November). General design principles for an automotive muffler. In Proceedings of ACOUSTICS (pp. 153-158).

[8] Kore, Sileshi, Abudlkadir Aman, and Eddesa Direbsa. "Performance evaluation of a reactive muffler using CFD." Zede Journal 28 (2011): 83-89.

[9] Eads, Kendra, Kamyar Haghighi, Han-Jun Kim, and John M. Grace. Finite element optimization of an exhaust system. No. 2000-01-0117. SAE Technical Paper, 2000.

[10] A. Elsayed, C. Bastien, S. Jones, J. Christensen, H. Medina, and H. Kassem, "Investigation of baffle configuration effect on the performance of exhaust mufflers," Case Stud. Therm. Eng., vol. 10, no. Supplement C, pp. 86–94, 2017.

[11] P. B. Bhadke and K. A. Mahajan, "Effect of Change in Diameter on Muffler Transmission loss using COMSOL," IOSR J. Mech. Civ. Eng., no. March, pp. 2278–1684, 2017.

[12] Potente, D., 2005, November. General design principles for an automotive muffler. In Proceedings of ACOUSTICS (pp. 153-158).

[13] Panigrahi, S.N, Munjal, M.L. (2007). Backpressure considerations in designing of cross flow perforated-element reactive silencers, Noise Control Eng. J. 55 (6), 2007 Nov-Dec.

[14] Hou, X., Guo, X., Liu, Z., Yan, F. and Peng, F.(2010). Flow Field Analysis and Improvement of Automobile Exhaust System Cold End, Computational Intelligence and Software Engineering, 2009.



فصلنامه علمی - پژوهشی تحقیقات موتور

تارنمای فصلنامه: www.engineersearch.ir



طراحی صدا خفه کن با بررسی تاثیر تیغه ها و سوراخ ها بر تلفات انتقال

سعید کمرخانی^{۱*}، امیر کریمیان^۲، مجید خیبری^۳، جمال خانعلی^۴

^۱ دانشکده مهندسی خودرو، دانشگاه علم و صنعت ایران، تهران، ایران، saeidkamarkhani@gmail.com

^۲ دانشکده مهندسی مکانیک، دانشگاه تربیت دبیر شهید رجایی، تهران، ایران، a.karimyan.iust@gmail.com

^۳ دانشکده مهندسی خودرو، دانشگاه علم و صنعت ایران، تهران، ایران، kheybari_m@auto.iust.ac.ir

^۴ دانشکده مهندسی خودرو، دانشگاه علم و صنعت ایران، تهران، ایران، Jamal.khanali@hotmail.com

* نویسنده مسئول

اطلاعات مقاله

تاریخچه مقاله:

دریافت: ۱ دی ۱۳۹۶

پذیرش: ۲ اسفند ۱۳۹۶

کلیدواژه‌ها:

المان محدود

صدا خفه کن خروجی

تلفات انتقال

چکیده

صدا خفه کن‌های واکنشی باعث کاهش صدای خروجی از طریق انعکاس صدا داخل یک حجم می‌شوند. شکل و اندازه محدود سازه‌ها و همچنین پیچیدگی طراحی آن‌ها همواره منجر به ایجاد چالش در تجزیه و تحلیل مسائل مهندسی می‌شوند. امروزه کاهش سر و صدای خروجی تولید شده از موتور یکی از مسائل مهم مرتبط با مهندسی خودرو می‌باشند که شرکت‌های خودروسازی در این راستا اقدامات قابل توجهی را نیز انجام داده‌اند. از طرفی صدا خفه کن‌ها گزینه خوبی برای کاهش صدای منتشر شده از موتور خودرو هستند اما این سیستم‌ها نیاز به طراحی خاص و ساخت و ساز پیچیده براساس متغیرهای مختلف سر و صدا تولید شده توسط موتور را دارند. معمولاً طراحی‌ها براساس تجربه می‌باشند که با بهینه سازی ساختار داخلی صدا خفه کن‌ها بهبود می‌یابند. استفاده از سوراخ‌ها تاثیر قابل توجهی در کاهش انتقال صدا در سیستم خفه کن‌ها دارد. در این مقاله به منظور ارزیابی تاثیر واکنش صدا خفه کن‌ها، از نرم افزار کامسول استفاده شده است. نتایج حاصل از مقایسه مدل عددی با مدل تجربی نشان دهنده اختلاف ناچیز بین مدل پیشنهاد شده با مدل تجربی می‌باشد. همچنین اثر کاهش انتقال صدا براساس مدل ارائه شده در نتایج به صورت قابل توجهی نشان داده شده است.

تمامی حقوق برای انجمن علمی موتور ایران محفوظ است.

